#### LINEAR PROGRAMMING

#### Formulation

## Linear Programming

Linear programming deals with optimization (*max or min*) of linear functions subject to linear constraints.

Three components of a decision making problem

- i. Defining of the *decision variables* of the problem
- ii. Identification of the *constraints* under which the decision is to be made
- iii. Constructing the *objective function* to be optimized maximizing profit or minimizing cost

## **General Format of LP Model**

Maximize or minimize **Objective Function** Subject to:

Constraints And non-negativity of decision variables

- Values of the decision variables that satisfy all the constraints including non-negativity, constitute a feasible solution.
- If the feasible solution maximizes the profit or minimizes the cost it is an optimum feasible solution.

#### Sample: Linear Programming

Example: Max z = x + y

Subject to:  $x + 2y \le 90$  $2x + y \le 60$  $x \ge 0$  $y \ge 0$  x and y are called **decision variables** 

(x + y) is called the **Objective function** 

The inequalities are **constraints** 

It is called **Linear programming** as the functions are linearly related.

### **Problem Formulation**

- Problem formulation or modeling is the process of translating the verbal statement of a problem into a mathematical statement.
- Formulating models is an art that can only be mastered with practice and experience
- The accuracy and value of the conclusions arrived at depends on how well a model represents the real situation

## Formulation of an LPP

- Identify the <u>Decision Variables</u> of interest and express them as x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, x<sub>3</sub>, ...
- Ascertain the **Objective** of the problem
- Ascertain the <u>Cost</u> (in case of minimization) or the <u>Profit</u> (in case of maximization) per unit of each decision variable
- On the basis of the above data, write down the <u>Objective Function</u>, Z, as a linear function of the decision variables

## LPP Formulation (contd.)

- Ascertain the <u>Constraints</u> representing the maximum availability (of resources) or the minimum commitment (demands, targets etc.) or equality
- Write the constraints in terms of decision variables as "less than or equal to" (<=) type inequality or "greater than or equal to" (>=) type inequality or "equal to" (=) type equality; all constraints shall be linear
- Note that maximum availability leads to a <= type and minimum commitment gives a >= type inequality

## Formulation (contd.)

• Add **non-negativity** restriction as under:

x<sub>j</sub> >= 0; j = 1, 2, ... n

# Non-negativity constraints are a general feature of all LPPs

### The Mathematical Formulation

The Mathematical Formulation looks like:

Maximize (or Minimize)  $Z = c_1x_1 + c_2x_2 + ...$ Subject to constraints:  $a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + ... \le b_1$  (Maximum availability)  $a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + ... \ge b_2$  (Minimum commitment)

 $a_{31}x_1 + a_{32}x_2 + \dots = b_3$  (Equality)

. . .

 $x_1, x_2, \dots \ge 0$  (Non-negativity restriction)

## A wide range of LP Problems

- "Product Mix" Problems (e.g. 1, 2, 3)
- "Make or Buy Decision" Problems (e.g. 4)
- "Choice of Alternatives" Problems
- "Sales Budget" Problems
- "Production Budget" Problems
- "Purchase Budget" Problems
- "Portfolio Mix" Problems (e.g. 5)
- "Advertising" Problem

# Range of LP Problems (contd.)

- "Capital Mix" Problems
- "Diet" Problems
- "Nutrition" Problems (e.g. 6)
- "Blending" Problems
- "Trim" Problems
- "Transportation" Problems
- "Assignment Problems"
- "Job Scheduling" Problems

#### Example-1

A garment manufacturer has a production line making two styles of shirts. Style I needs 200 g of cotton thread, 300 g of Dacron thread and 300 g of linen thread. Corresponding requirements of style II are 200g, 200g and 100g. The net contributions are Rs.19.50 for style I and Rs.15.90 for style II. The available inventory of cotton thread, Dacron thread and linen thread are, respectively, 24 kg, 26 kg and 22 kg.

The manufacturer wants to determine the number of each style to be produced with the given inventory. Formulate the LPP model.

## Step 1: Objective Function

- Decision variables: These are the numbers of each style to be produced:
  - Number of style I shirts, say x<sub>1</sub>
  - Number of style II shirts, say x<sub>2</sub>
- Objective of the decision maker: Maximize total contribution, given that the contribution per unit is Rs. 19.50 for x<sub>1</sub> and Rs. 15.90 for x<sub>2</sub>
- Maximize profit
- Hence the objective function is: Max Z = 19.50  $x_1$  + 15.90  $x_2$

## Step 2: Constraints

 Availability of cotton thread: Style I needs 200 g and style II needs 200 g. 24,000 g is available. The corresponding constraint is 200 x<sub>1</sub> + 200 x<sub>2</sub> <= 24,000</li>

 Availability of dracon thread: Style I needs 300 g and style II needs 200 g. 26,000 g is available. The corresponding constraint is

300 x<sub>1</sub> + 200 x<sub>2</sub> <= 26,000

 Availability of linen thread: Style I needs 300 g and style II needs 100 g. 22,000 g is available. The corresponding constraint is

300 x<sub>1</sub> + 100 x<sub>2</sub> <= 22,000

## Step 3: Non-negativity

Since the number of shirts cannot be negative, add the non-negativity restriction  $x_1$ ,  $x_2 \ge 0$  and complete the LP formulation.

Note: For the time being we ignore another restriction on  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  that they be integers. If that restriction is also added we write:

 $x_1$ ,  $x_2 >=0$  and integers. Then this becomes an Integer LP or ILP, which we will see later.

### Solution of Example 1

Let  $x_1$  = number of style I shirts  $x_2$  = number of style II shirts Max Z = 19.50  $x_1$  + 15.90  $x_2$  (contribution) Sub to:  $200 x_1 + 200 x_2 \le 24,000$  (cotton)  $300 x_1 + 200 x_2 \le 26,000$  (dacron)  $300 x_1 + 100 x_2 \le 22,000$  (linen)  $x_1, x_2 \ge 0$  (non-negativity)

#### Example 2

An animal feed company must produce 200 kg of a mixture consisting of ingredients A and B daily. A costs Rs. 3 per kg and B costs Rs. 8 per kg. Not more than 80 kg of A can be used and at least 60 kg of B must be used.

The company wants to know how much of each ingredient should be used to minimize cost. Formulate the LPP.

## Step 1: Objective Function

- Decision variables: Qty of each ingredient used:
   Quantity of ingredient A, say A kg
   Quantity of ingredient B, say B kg
- Objective of the decision maker: Minimize cost, given that cost per kg is Rs. 3 for A; Rs. 8 for B.
- Hence the objective function is:
   Min Z = 3A + 8B

## Step 2: Constraints

Committed output is 200 kg of the mixture. The corresponding constraint is

A + B = 200

Not more than 80 kg of A can be used. The corresponding constraint is

A <= 80

At least 60 kg of B must be used. The corresponding constraint is

B >= 60

## Step 3: Non-negativity

Since the decision variables cannot be negative, add the non-negativity restriction A,  $B \ge 0$  and complete the LP formulation.

Note that we do not have any integer restrictions on the decision variables in this case.

#### Solution to Example 2

#### Min Z = 3A + 8BSub to: A + B = 200A <= 80B >= 60A, B >= 0

#### Example 3

A farmer has a 125 acre farm. He produces radish, mutter and potato. Whatever he raises is fully sold. He gets Rs.5 per kg for radish, Rs.4 per kg for mutter and Rs.5 per kg for potato. The average yield per acre is 1500 kg for radish, 1800 kg for mutter and 1200 kg for potato. Cost of manure per acre is Rs.187.50, Rs.225 and Rs.187.50 for radish, mutter and potato respectively. Labour required per acre is 6 man-days each for radish and potato and 5 man-days for mutter. A total of 500 man-days of labour is available at the rate of Rs.40 per man-day.

Formulate this as an LPP model to maximize the profit.

## Step 1: Objective Function

- Decision variables: acreage for each produce:
   Acres for radish, say r
   Acres for mutter, say m
   Acres for potato, say p
- Objective of the decision maker: Maximize profit, given that, profit per acre is:
   >(5\*1500 -187.5 6\*40) for radish
   >(4\*1800 225 5\*40) for mutter
  - >(5\*1200 187.5 6\*40) for potato

#### E.g.: Profit per acre for Radish

- Earning per acre
   Each acre yields 1500 kg. Each kg sells for Rs.5
   Hence earning per acre = Rs.1500\*5
- Cost per acre Manure = Rs.187.50 Labour = 6 man-days @ Rs.40 per man-day. Hence cost per acre is Rs.(187.50 + 6\*40)
- Profit = Earning  $-\cos t = Rs.(1500*5 187.5 6*40)$

## Step 2: Constraints

Availability of land = 125 acres. The corresponding constraint is
 r + m + p <= 125</li>

Availability of labour = 500 man-days. The corresponding constraint is
 6r + 5m + 6p <= 500</li>

## Step 3: Non-negativity

Since the decision variables cannot be negative, add the non-negativity restriction r, m,  $p \ge 0$  and complete the LP formulation.

## Solution to Example 3

Let r, m, p be the no of acres used for radish, mutter and potato respectively.

Max Z = (5\*1500 - 187.5 - 6\*40) r + (4\*1800 - 225 - 5\*40) m + (5\*1200 - 187.5 - 6\*40)p

Sub to:  $r + m + p \le 125$  (Land constraint) 6r + 5m + 6p <= 500 (Man-days constraint) r, m, p >= 0 (non-negativity)

### Example 4

| Jindal manufactures a<br>type of sofa set containing<br>seven components: one<br>sofa, two centre tables<br>and four chairs.<br>These can either be<br>manufactured in-house or<br>sub-contracted as per the<br>data given in the table: | Per component   | Sofa  | Table | Chair |
|--|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|
|  |                 | Rs.   | Rs.   | Rs.   |
|  | Direct Material | 1,000 | 500   | 550   |
|  | Direct Labour   |       |       |       |
|  | hours           | 100   | 50    | 10    |
|  | Sub-contract    | Rs.   | Rs.   | Rs.   |
|  | price           | 2,500 | 1,000 | 750   |

Sales of sofa sets are 8,000 per period, each selling for Rs.7,500. A capacity constraint of 500,000 direct labour hours obliges the company to sub-contract some components.

The variable overheads vary with direct labour hours at Rs. 2 per hour. Fixed costs are Rs. 1,750,000 per period and labour costs Rs. 5.50 per hour.

Formulate LPP to minimize costs.

• Decision variables:

The nos of sofas, tables, chairs made / bought:

 $S_m, S_b, t_m, t_b, C_m, C_b.$ 

- The table on the next slide calculates the cost per unit of each decision variable (objective function coefficients)
- Hence the objective function is: Min 1750 s<sub>m</sub> +2500 s<sub>b</sub> + 875 t<sub>m</sub> + 1000 t<sub>b</sub> + 625 c<sub>m</sub> + 750 c<sub>b</sub> + FC
- Constraints:
  - Demand for sofas:  $s_m + s_b = 8000$
  - Demand for tables:  $t_m + t_b = 16,000$
  - Demand for chairs:  $c_m + c_b = 32,000$
  - Direct labour hours:  $100 \text{ s}_{\text{m}} + 50 \text{ t}_{\text{m}} + 10 \text{ c}_{\text{m}} \le 500,000$
- Non-negativity:  $s_m$ ,  $s_b$ ,  $t_m$ ,  $t_b$ ,  $c_m$ ,  $c_b \ge 0$

### Solution to example 4

|                | Sofa | Table | Chair |
|----------------|------|-------|-------|
| DM cost        | 1000 | 500   | 550   |
| DL cost        | 550  | 275   | 55    |
| Var O/H        | 200  | 100   | 20    |
| Cost of "make" | 1750 | 875   | 625   |
| Cost of Buy    | 2500 | 1000  | 750   |

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{Min } Z = 1750 \ s_m + 2500 \ s_b + 875 \ t_m + 1000 \ t_b + 625 \ c_m + 750 \ c_b + \text{FC} \\ \text{Subject to:} & s_m + s_b = 8000 \ (\text{demand for sofas}) \\ & t_m + t_b \ = 16,000 \ (\text{demand for table}) \\ & c_m + c_b = 32,000 \ (\text{demand for chairs}) \\ & 100 \ s_m + 50 \ t_m + 10 \ c_m <= 500,000 \ (\text{direct labour available}) \\ & s_m, \ s_b, \ t_m, \ t_b, \ \ c_m, \ c_b >= 0 \ (\text{non-negativity}) \end{array}$ 

### Example 5

A mutual fund has Rs. 2 million available for investment in Government bonds, blue chip stocks, speculative stocks and shortterm bank deposits. The annual expected return and the risk factor are as shown:

| Investment  | Return<br>% | Risk factor<br>(0- 100) |
|-------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| Bonds       | 14          | 12                      |
| Blue Chip   | 19          | 24                      |
| Speculative | 23          | 48                      |
| Short-term  | 12          | 6                       |

The fund is required to keep at least Rs. 200,000 in short-term deposits and not to exceed an average risk factor of 42. Speculative stocks must not exceed 20% of the money invested. Formulate the LPP maximizing expected annual return.

 Decision variables: Amounts invested in Government bonds, blue chip stocks, speculative stocks and short-term bank deposits :

 $x_1, x_2, x_3 \text{ and } x_4.$ 

- Objective: Maximize returns given that the return per Re. for the investments are 0.14, 0.19, 0.23, 0.12
- Hence the objective function is: Max 0.14 x<sub>1</sub> + 0.19 x<sub>2</sub> + 0.23 x<sub>3</sub> + 0.12 x<sub>4</sub>
- Constraints: (See next slide for calculations)
  - Amount available:  $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 \le 2,000,000$
  - At least 200,000 in STD:  $x_4 >= 200,000$
  - Average risk factor:  $30x_1 + 18x_2 6x_3 + 36x_4 \ge 0$
  - Limit on speculative stock:  $0.2 x_1 + 0.2 x_2 0.8 x_3 + 0.2 x_4 \ge 0$
- Non-negativity:  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \ge 0$

### Solution to Example 5

Let  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4$  be the amounts invested. Average risk factor =  $(12 x_1+24 x_2 + 48 x_3 + 6 x_4)/(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4) \le 42$ . This gives  $30x_1+18x_2-6x_3+36x_4\ge 0$ . Also  $x_3 \le 0.2 (x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4)$  ---- Maximum limit on speculative stock This gives:  $0.2 x_1 + 0.2 x_2 - 0.8 x_3 + 0.2 x_4 \ge 0$ 

```
Hence the LPP formulation is as follows:

Max Z = 0.14 x_1+ 0.19 x_2+ 0.23 x_3+ 0.12 x_4

s.t: 30x_1+18x_2- 6x_3+36x_4 \ge 0 (Avg Risk factor)

0.2 x_1 + 0.2 x_2 - 0.8 x_3 + 0.2 x_4 \ge 0 (limit on speculative stock)

x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 \le 2,000,000

x_4 \ge 200,000

x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \ge 0 (Non-negativity)
```

#### Example 6

The vitamins V and W are found in two different foods,  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ . The respective prices per unit of each food are Rs. 3 and Rs. 2.5. One unit of  $F_1$  contains 2 units of vitamin V and 3 units of vitamin W. One unit of  $F_2$  contains 4 units of vitamin V and 2 units of vitamin W. The daily requirements of V and W are at least 60 units and 75 units respectively.

Formulate an LPP to meet the daily requirement of the vitamins at minimum cost

- Decision variables: Quantity of foods F<sub>1</sub> and F<sub>2</sub> required be x<sub>1</sub> and x<sub>2</sub>.
- Objective: Minimize cost given that the costs per unit of  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are Rs. 3 and Rs. 2.5 respectively
- Hence the objective function is:
   Min Z = 3 x<sub>1</sub> + 2.5 x<sub>2</sub>
- Constraints:
  - Requirement of V:  $2x_1 + 4 x_2 \ge 60$
  - Requirement of W:  $3x_1 + 2 x_2 \ge 75$
- Non-negativity:  $x_1, x_2 \ge 0$

### Solution to Example 6

Let  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  be the quantities of  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ . Minimise:  $3x_1 + 2.5 x_2$ s.t:  $2x_1 + 4 x_2 \ge 60$  (Min requirement of V)  $3x_1 + 2x_2 \ge 75$  (Min requirement of W)  $x_1$ ,  $x_2 \ge 0$